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#### Abstract

We consider hypercyclic composition operators on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which can be obtained from the translation operator using polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In particular we show that if $C_{S}$ is a hypercyclic operator for an affine automorphism $S$ on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, then $S=\Theta \circ(I+b) \circ \Theta^{-1}+a$ for some polynomial automorphism $\Theta$ and vectors $a$ and $b$, where $I$ is the identity operator. Finally, we prove the hypercyclicity of "symmetric translations" on a space of symmetric analytic functions on $\ell_{1}$.
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Introduction. Let $X$ be a Fréchet linear space. A continuous linear operator $T$ : $X \rightarrow X$ is called hypercyclic if there is a vector $x_{0} \in X$ for which the orbit under $T, \operatorname{Orb}\left(T, x_{0}\right)=\left\{x_{0}, T x_{0}, T^{2} x_{0}, \ldots\right\}$ is dense in $X$. Every such vector $x_{0}$ is called a hypercyclic vector of $T$. The classical Birkhoff theorem [5] asserts that any operator of composition with translation $x \mapsto x+a, T_{a}: f(x) \mapsto f(x+a)$ is hypercyclic on the space of entire functions $H(\mathbb{C})$ on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ if $a \neq 0$. The Birkhoff translation $T_{a}$ has also been regarded as a differentiation operator

$$
T_{a}(f)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{n}}{n!} D^{n} f
$$

A generalization of the Birkhoff theorem was proved by Godefroy and Shapiro in [8]. They showed that if $\varphi(z)=\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$ is a non-constant entire function of
exponential type on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} c_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} f, \quad f \in H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is hypercyclic. Moreover, in [8] it is proved that any continuous linear operator $T$ on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which commutes with translations and is not a scalar multiple of the identity, can be expressed by (1) and so is hypercyclic as well.

Let us recall that an operator $C_{\Phi}$ on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is said to be a composition operator if $C_{\Phi} f(x)=f(\Phi(x))$ for some analytic map $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$. It is known that only translation operator $T_{a}$ for some $a \neq 0$ is a hypercyclic composition operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$ [4]. However, if $n>1, H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ supports more hypercyclic composition operators. In [3] Bernal-González established some necessary and sufficient conditions for a composition operator by an affine map to be hypercyclic. In particular, in [3] it is proved that a given affine automorphism $S=A+b$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the composition operator $C_{S}: f(x) \mapsto f(S(x))$ is hypercyclic if and only if the linear operator $A$ is bijective and the vector $b$ is not in the range of $A-I$.

In this paper we consider hypercyclic composition operators on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which can not be described by formula (1) but can be obtained from the translation operator using polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. To do it we developed a method which involves the theory of symmetric analytic functions on Banach spaces.

In Section 1 we discuss some relationship between polynomial automorphisms on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and the operation of changing of polynomial bases in an algebra of symmetric analytic functions on the Banach space of summing sequences, $\ell_{1}$. In Section 2 we consider operators of the form $C_{\Theta}{ }^{-1} T_{b} C_{\Theta}$ for a polynomial automorphism $\Theta$ and show that if $C_{S}$ is a hypercyclic operator for some affine automorphism $S$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then there exists a representation of the form $S=\Theta \circ(I+b) \circ \Theta^{-1}+a$ that is we can write $C_{S}=C_{\Theta}{ }^{-1} T_{b} C_{\Theta} T_{a}$. To do it we use the method of symmetric polynomials on $\ell_{1}$ as an important tool for constructing and computations. Finally, in the third section we prove the hypercyclicity of a special operator on an algebra of symmetric analytic functions on $\ell_{1}$ which plays the role of translation in this algebra.

For details of the theory of analytic functions on Banach spaces we refer the reader to Dineen's book [7]. Note that an analog of the Godefroy-Shapiro Theorem for a special class of entire functions on Banach space with separable dual was proved by Aron and Bés in [2]. Current state of theory of symmetric analytic functions on Banach spaces can be found in [1, 9]. A detailed survey of hypercyclic operators is given by Grosse-Erdmann in [10].

1. Polynomial automorphisms and symmetric functions. A polynomial map $\Phi=$ $\left(\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n}\right)$ from $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is said to be a polynomial automorphism if it is invertible and the inverse map is also a polynomial.

Let $X$ be a Banach space with a symmetric basis $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. A function $g$ on $X$ is called symmetric if for every $x=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i} e_{i} \in X$,

$$
g(x)=g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i} e_{i}\right)=g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i} e_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for an arbitrary permutation $\sigma$ on the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ for any positive integer $m$. The sequence of homogeneous polynomials $\left(P_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}, \operatorname{deg} P_{k}=k$ is called a homogeneous algebraic basis in the algebra of symmetric polynomials if for every symmetric polynomial $P$ of degree $n$ on $X$ there exists a polynomial $q$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that

$$
P(x)=q\left(P_{1}(x), \ldots, P_{n}(x)\right)
$$

Throughout this paper we consider the case when $X=\ell_{1}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{s}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ the algebra of all symmetric polynomials on $\ell_{1}$. The next two algebraic bases of $\mathcal{P}_{s}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ are useful for us: $\left(F_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (see [9]) and $\left(G_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where

$$
F_{k}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{i}^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{k}(x)=\sum_{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}} .
$$

By the Newton formula $G_{1}=F_{1}$ and for every $k>1$,

$$
G_{k+1}=\frac{1}{k+1}\left((-1)^{k} F_{k+1}-F_{k} G_{1}+\cdots+F_{1} G_{k}\right)
$$

Denote by $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ the algebra of entire symmetric functions on $\ell_{1}$ which is topologically generated by polynomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$. It means that $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ is the completion of the algebraic span of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ in the uniform topology on bounded subsets. We say that polynomials $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ (not necessary homogeneous) form an algebraic basis in $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ if they topologically generate $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. Evidently, if $\left(P_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a homogeneous algebraic basis in $\mathcal{P}_{s}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$, then $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$ is an algebraic basis in $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. We will use notations $\mathbf{F}:=\left(F_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{G}:=\left(G_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}$.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n}\right)$ be a polynomial automorphism on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then $\left(\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P})\right)$ is an algebraic basis in $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ for an arbitrary algebraic basis $\mathbf{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$.

Conversely, if $\left(\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P})\right)$ is an algebraic basis for some algebraic basis $\mathbf{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$ in $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ and a polynomial map $\Phi$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then $\Phi$ is a polynomial automorphism.

Proof. Suppose that $\Phi$ is a polynomial automorphism and

$$
\Phi^{-1}=\left(\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)_{1}, \ldots,\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)_{n}\right)
$$

is its inverse. Then $P_{k}=\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)_{k}\left(\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P})\right), 1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence polynomials $\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P})$ topologically generate $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ and so they form an algebraic basis.

Let now $\left(\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P})\right)$ be an algebraic basis in $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ for some algebraic basis $\mathbf{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$. Then for each $P_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, there exists a polynomial $q_{k}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $P_{k}=q_{k}\left(\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P})\right)$. Put $\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)_{k}(t):=q_{k}(t), t \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Since $\left(\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P})\right)$ is an algebraic basis, the map

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{P}(x)), \ldots, \Phi_{n}(\mathbf{P}(x))\right)
$$

is onto by [1, Lemma 1.1]. Thus $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a bijection and so the mapping $\left(\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)_{1}, \ldots,\left(\Phi^{-1}\right)_{n}\right)$ is the inverse polynomial map for $\Phi$.
2. Similar translations. We start with an evident statement, which actually is a very special case of the Universal Comparison Principle (see e.g. [10, Proposition 4]).

Proposition 2.1. Let $T$ be a hypercyclic operator on $X$ and $A$ be an isomorphism of $X$. Then $A^{-1} T A$ is hypercyclic.

We will say that $A^{-1} T A$ is a similar operator to $T$. If $T=C_{R}$ is a composition operator on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ and $A=C_{\Phi}$ is a composition by an analytic automorphism $\Phi$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then $A^{-1} T A=C_{\Phi \circ R \circ \Phi^{-1}}$ is a composition operator too. If $A$ is a composition with a polynomial automorphism, we will say that $A^{-1} T A$ is polynomially similar to $T$. Now we consider operators which are similar to the translation composition $T_{a}: f(x) \mapsto f(x+a)$ on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$.

Example 2.2. Let $\Phi\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}-t_{1}^{m}\right)$ for some positive integer $m$. Clearly, $\Phi$ is a polynomial automorphism and $\Phi^{-1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}+z_{1}^{m}\right)$. So

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\Phi(t+a) & =\left(t_{1}+a_{1}, t_{2}+a_{2}-\left(t_{1}+a_{1}\right)^{m}\right) \\
& =\left(t_{1}+a_{1}, t_{2}+a_{2}-t_{1}^{m}-a_{1}^{m}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\binom{m-j}{j} t_{1}^{m-j} a_{1}^{j}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus we have
$\Phi \circ(I+a) \circ \Phi^{-1}(t)=\Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(t)+a\right)=\left(t_{1}+a_{1}, t_{2}+a_{2}-a_{1}^{m}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\binom{m-j}{j} t_{1}^{m-j} a_{1}^{j}\right)$.
Hence the composition operator with the ( $m-1$ )-degree polynomial $\Phi \circ(I+a) \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is similar to the translation operator $T_{a}=C_{(I+a)}$ and so it must be hypercyclic. Here $I$ is the identity operator.

It is known (see [1]) that the map

$$
\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}}: f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \mapsto f\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{n}(x)\right)
$$

is a topological isomorphism from the algebra $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ to the algebra $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. Now we will prove more general statement.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathbf{P}=\left(P_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{n}$ be an algebraic basis in $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. Then the map

$$
\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}: f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \mapsto f\left(P_{1}(x), \ldots, P_{n}(x)\right)
$$

is a topological isomorphism from $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ onto $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$.
Proof. Evidently, $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is a homomorphism. It is known [1] that for every vector $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ there exists an element $x \in \ell_{1}$ such that $P_{1}(x)=t_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}(x)=$ $t_{n}$. Therefore the map $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is injective. Let us show that $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is surjective. Let $u \in H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ and $u=\sum u_{k}$ be the Taylor series expansion of $u$ at zero. For every homogeneous polynomial $u_{k}$ there exists a polynomial $q_{k}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $u_{k}=q_{k}\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$. Put $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{k}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$. Since $f$ is a power series which converges for every vector $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), f$ is an entire analytic function on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Evidently, $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}(f)=u$. From the known theorem about automatic continuity of an isomorphism between commutative finitely generated Fréchet algebras [11, p. 43] it follows that $\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is continuous.

Let $x, y \in \ell_{1}, x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right)$. We put

$$
x \bullet y:=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}, \ldots\right)
$$

and define

$$
\mathcal{T}_{y}(f)(x):=f(x \bullet y) .
$$

We will say that $x \mapsto x \bullet y$ is the symmetric translation and the operator $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ is the symmetric translation operator. It is clear that if $f$ is a symmetric function, then $f(x \bullet y)$ is a symmetric function for any fixed $y$.

In [6] is proved that $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ is a topological isomorphism from the algebra of symmetric analytic functions to itself. Evidently, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}(x \bullet y)=F_{k}(x)+F_{k}(y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k$.
Let $g \in H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$. Set

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\alpha} g:=\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}} D^{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}}\right)^{-1} g=\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1}}}{\partial t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{n}}}{\partial t_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}} f\right)\left(F_{1}(\cdot), \ldots, F_{n}(\cdot)\right)
$$

where $f=\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}}\right)^{-1} g$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $y \in \ell_{1}$ such that $\left(F_{1}(y), \ldots, F_{n}(y)\right)$ is a nonzero vector in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then the symmetric translation operator $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ is hypercyclic on $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. Moreover, every operator $\mathcal{A}$ on $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ which commutes with $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ and is not a scalar multiple of the identity is hypercyclic and can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(g)=\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} c_{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} g \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha}$ are coefficients of a non-constant entire function of exponential type on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Proof. Let $a=\left(F_{1}(y), \ldots, F_{n}(y)\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. If $g \in H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$, then

$$
g(x)=\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}}(f)(x)=f\left(F_{1}(x), \ldots, F_{n}(x)\right)
$$

for some $f \in H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ and property (2) implies that

$$
\mathcal{T}_{y}(g)(x)=\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}} T_{a}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{F}}\right)^{-1}(g)(x)
$$

So the proof follows from Proposition 2.1 and the Godefroy-Shapiro Theorem.
A given algebraic basis $\mathbf{P}$ on $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ we set

$$
T_{\mathbf{P}, y}:=\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{y} \mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{\mathbf{P}}^{\alpha}:=\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}
$$

Corollary 2.5. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be an algebraic basis on $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ and let $y \in \ell_{1}$ such that $\left(F_{1}(y), \ldots, F_{n}(y)\right) \neq 0$. Then the operator $T_{\mathbf{P}, y}$ is hypercyclic on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, every operator $A$ on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which commutes with $T_{\mathbf{P}, y}$ and is not a scalar multiple of the identity is hypercyclic and can be represented by the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(f)=\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} c_{\alpha} D_{\mathbf{P}}^{\alpha} f \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha}$ as in (1).
Note that due to Proposition 1.1 the transformation $\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{y} \mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}$ is nothing else than a composition with $\Phi \circ(I+a) \circ \Phi^{-1}$, where $\Phi\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}\right)=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right)$ and $a=\left(F_{1}(y), \ldots, F_{n}(y)\right)$. Conversely, every polynomially similar operator to the translation can be represented by the form $\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{y} \mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{P}}$ for some algebraic basis of symmetric polynomials $\mathbf{P}$. This observation can be helpful in order to construct some examples of such operators.
Example 2.6. Let us compute how looks the operator $T_{\mathbf{P}, y}$ for $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{G}$. We observe first that $G_{k}(x \bullet y)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} G_{i}(x) G_{k-i}(y)$, where for the sake of convenience we take $G_{0} \equiv 1$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{y} \mathcal{F}_{n}^{\mathbf{G}} f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) & =\mathcal{T}_{y} f\left(G_{1}(x), \ldots, G_{n}(x)\right)=f\left(G_{1}(x \bullet y), \ldots, G_{n}(x \bullet y)\right) \\
& =f\left(G_{1}(x)+G_{1}(y), \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{n} G_{i}(x) G_{n-i}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mathbf{G}, y} f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=f\left(t_{1}+b_{1}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{k} t_{i} b_{k-i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_{i} b_{n-i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0}=1, b_{0}=1$ and $b_{k}=G_{k}(y)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.
According to the Newton formula and Proposition 1.1 the corresponding polynomial automorphism $\Phi$ can be given of recurrence form $\Phi_{1}(t)=t_{1}$, $\Phi_{k+1}(t)=1 /(k+1)\left((-1)^{k} t_{k+1}-t_{k} \Phi_{1}(t)+\cdots+t_{1} \Phi_{k}(t)\right)$ which is not so good for computations.

The hypercyclic operator in Example 2.2 is a composition with an $m-1$ degree polynomial and so does not commute with the translation because it can not be generated by formula (1). However, the composition with an affine map in Example 2.6 still does not commute with $T_{a}$. Indeed, by (5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{a} \circ T_{\mathbf{G}, y} f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=f\left(t_{1}+b_{1}+a_{1}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{n} t_{i} b_{n-i}+a_{n}\right) ; \\
& T_{\mathbf{G}, y} \circ T_{a} f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=f\left(t_{1}+b_{1}+a_{1}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(t_{i}+a_{i}\right) b_{n-i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{0}=1$. Evidently, $T_{a} \circ T_{\mathbf{G}, y} \neq T_{\mathbf{G}, y} \circ T_{a}$ for some $a \neq 0$ whenever $b \neq$ $\left(0, \ldots, 0, b_{n}\right)$.

Corollary 2.7. There exists a nonzero vector $b \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a polynomial automorphism $\Theta$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $\Theta \circ(I+b) \Theta^{-1}(t)=A(t)+c$ where $A$ is a linear operator with the matrix of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0  \tag{6}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $c \neq 0$.

Proof. We choose $b \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that all coordinates $b_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$ are positive numbers. Let $\Phi$ be a polynomial automorphism associated with $T_{\mathbf{G}, y}$ in Example 2.6, where $y \in \ell_{1}$ is such that $G_{k}(y)=b_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Then, according to (5), we can write $\Phi \circ(I+b) \Phi^{-1}(t)=R(t)+b$, where

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
b_{1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
b_{n-2} & b_{n-3} & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
b_{n-1} & b_{n-2} & \cdots & b_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We recall that the index of an eigenvalue $\lambda$ of a matrix $M$ is the smallest nonnegative integer $k$ such that $\operatorname{rank}\left((M-\lambda I)^{k}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left((M-\lambda I)^{k+1}\right)$. The matrix $R$ has a unique eigenvalue 1 and since all coordinates $b_{k}$ of $b$ are positive, the index of this eigenvalue is equal to $n$. Indeed, for each $k<n,(R-\lambda I)^{k}$ contains an $(n-k) \times(n-k)$ triangular matrix with only positive numbers in the main diagonal and $(R-\lambda I)^{n}=0$. Therefore, from the Linear Algebra we know that the largest Jordan block $A$ associated with the eigenvalue 1 is $n \times n$ and so it can be represented by (6). Thus there is a linear isomorphism $L$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $A=L R L^{-1}$. Hence

$$
(L \circ \Phi) \circ(I+b) \circ(L \circ \Phi)^{-1}(t)=L \circ(R+b) \circ L^{-1}(t)=A(t)+L(b) .
$$

So it is enough to set $\Theta:=L \circ \Phi$ and $c:=L(b)$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $S$ be an affine automorphism on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $C_{S}$ is hypercyclic. Then there are vectors $a, b$ and a polynomial automorphism $\Theta$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $S=\Theta \circ(I+b) \circ \Theta^{-1}+a$.

Proof. Let $S(t)=A(t)+c$ be an affine map on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $C_{S}$ is hypercyclic. Without loss of the generality we can assume that $A$ is a direct sum of Jordan blocks $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ and each block $A_{j}$ acts on a subspace $V_{j}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [3] is shown that the spectrum of each block $A_{j}$ is the singleton $\{1\}$. So each $A_{j}$ is of the form as in (6). Let $\Theta_{(j)}$ be a polynomial automorphism of $V_{j}$ as in Corollary 2.7, that is,

$$
\Theta_{(j)} \circ\left(I+b_{(j)}\right) \circ \Theta_{(j)}^{-1}=A_{j}+b_{(j)}
$$

for some $b_{(j)} \in V_{j}$. Put $\Theta=\Theta_{(1)}+\cdots+\Theta_{(m)}$ and $b=b_{(1)}+\cdots+b_{(m)}$. Then $\Theta \circ(I+b) \circ \Theta^{-1}=A+b$. Let $a=c-b$. Hence

$$
S=A+c=A+b+a=\Theta \circ(I+b) \circ \Theta^{-1}+a .
$$

Of course, the converse of Theorem 2.8 (with $b \neq 0$ ) also holds.
We do not know whether it is always possible to choose $\Theta$ so that $a=0$. In other words: Is every hypercyclic operator which is a composition by an affine automorphism polynomially similar to a translation? Moreover, we do not know any example of a hypercyclic composition operator on $H\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which is not similar to a translation.
3. The infinity-dimensional case. Let us recall a well known Kitai-Gethner-Shapiro theorem which is also known as the Hypercyclicity Criterion.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be a separable Fréchet space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear and continuous operator. Suppose there exist $X_{0}, Y_{0}$ dense subsets of $X$, a sequence $\left(n_{k}\right)$ of positive integers and a sequence of mappings (possibly nonlinear, possibly not continuous) $S_{n}: Y_{0} \rightarrow X$ so that
(1) $T^{n_{k}}(x) \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in X_{0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
(2) $S_{n_{k}}(y) \rightarrow 0$ for every $y \in Y_{0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
(3) $T^{n_{k}} \circ S_{n_{k}}(y)=y$ for every $y \in Y_{0}$.

Then $T$ is hypercyclic.
The operator $T$ is said to satisfy the Hypercyclicity Criterion for full sequence if we can chose $n_{k}=k$. Note that $T_{a}$ satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion for full sequence [8] and so the symmetric shift $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ on $H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion for full sequence provided $\left(F_{1}(y), \ldots, F_{n}(y)\right) \neq 0$.

Finally, we establish our result about hypercyclic operators on the space of symmetric entire functions on $\ell_{1}$. But before this, we need the following general auxiliary statement, which might be of some interest by itself.

Lemma 3.2. Let $X$ be a Fréchet space and $X_{1} \subset X_{2} \subset \cdots \subset X_{m} \subset \cdots$ be $a$ sequence of closed subspaces such that $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_{m}$ is dense in $X$. Let $T$ be an operator on $X$ such that $T\left(X_{m}\right) \subset X_{m}$ for each $m$ and each restriction $\left.T\right|_{X_{m}}$ satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion for full sequence on $X_{m}$. Then $T$ satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion for full sequence on $X$.

Proof. Let $Y_{0}^{(m)}$ and $X_{0}^{(m)}$ be dense subsets in $X_{m}$, and $S_{k}^{(m)}$ corresponding sequence of mappings associated with $\left.T\right|_{X_{m}}$ as in Theorem 3.1. Put $X_{0}=$ $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_{0}^{(m)}$ and $Y_{0}=\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{0}^{(m)}$. It is clear that both $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ are dense in $X$. For a given $y \in Y_{0}$, we denote by $m(y)$ the minimal number $m$ such that $y \in Y_{0}^{(m)}$. We set $S_{k}(y):=S_{k}^{(m(y))}(y)$. Then

$$
T^{k} \circ S_{k}(y)=\left.T^{k}\right|_{X_{m(y)}} \circ S_{k}^{(m(y))}(y)=y, \quad \forall y \in Y_{0}
$$

and $S_{k}(y)=S_{k}^{(m(y))}(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for every $y \in Y_{0}$. Similarly, if $x \in X_{0}$, then $x \in X_{0}^{(m)}$ for some $m$ and $T^{k}(x)=\left.T^{k}\right|_{X_{m}}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So $T$ satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion for full sequence on $X$. In particular, $T$ is hypercyclic.

We denote by $H_{b s}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ the Fréchet algebra of symmetric entire functions on $\ell_{1}$ which are bounded on bounded subsets. This algebra is the completion of the space of symmetric polynomials on $\ell_{1}$ endowed with the uniform topology on bounded subsets.

Theorem 3.3. The symmetric operator $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ is hypercyclic on $H_{b s}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ for every $y \neq 0$.

Proof. Since $y \neq 0, F_{m_{0}}(y) \neq 0$ for some $m_{0}[1]$. So, $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ is hypercyclic (and satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion for full sequence) on $H_{s}^{m}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ whenever $m \geq m_{0}$. The set $\bigcup_{m=m_{0}}^{\infty} H_{s}^{m}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ contains the space of all symmetric polynomials on $\ell_{1}$ and so it is dense in $H_{b s}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$. Also $H_{s}^{m}\left(\ell_{1}\right) \subset H_{s}^{n}\left(\ell_{1}\right)$ if $n>m$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{T}_{y}$ is hypercyclic.

Acknowledgement. The second author wishes to thank the Nipissing University for the invitation for Visiting Professor position in 2005-06 academic year and financial support.

## References

[1] R. Alencar, R. Aron, P. Galindo and A. Zagorodnyuk, Algebra of symmetric holomorphic functions on $\ell_{p}$. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 35, 55-64 (2003).
[2] R. Aron and J. Bès, Hypercyclic differentiation operators. Contemporary Mathematics. 232, 39-46 (1999).
[3] L. Bernal-González, Universal entire functions for affine endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{N}$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 305, 690-697 (2005).
[4] J. Bès, Three problems on hypercyclic operators. Ph. D. Thesis. Kent State University, 1998.
[5] G. D. Birkhoff, Démonstration d'un théorème élémentaire sur les fonctions entières. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 189, 473-475 (1929).
[6] I. Chernega, P. Galindo and A. Zagorodnyuk, A symmetrization device, preprint.
[7] S. Dineen, Complex Analysis in Infinite Dimensional Spaces. London: Springer, 1999.
[8] G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro, Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds. J. Funct. Anal. 98, 229-269 (1991).
[9] M. González, R. Gonzalo and J. Jaramillo, Symmetric polynomials on rearrangement invariant function spaces. J. London Math. Soc. 59, 681-697 (1999).
[10] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 36, 345-381 (1999).
[11] T. Hussain, Multiplicative Functionals on Topological Algebras. Research Notes Math. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program 85, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1983.

Zoryana Novosad and Andriy Zagorodnyuk, Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, 3 b, Naukova str., Lviv 79060, Ukraine
e-mail: andriyzag@yahoo.com

Received: 8 June 2006
Revised: 26 September 2006

